



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/401,521	09/22/1999	CHARLES MEUBUS	91436-123C	4780

22463 7590 11/02/2004

SMART AND BIGGAR  
438 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
SUITE 1500 BOX 111  
TORONTO, ON M5G2K8  
CANADA

EXAMINER

PHAN, JOSEPH T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2645

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

**MAILED**

NOV 11 2004

Technology Center 2100

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES**

Paper No. 22

Application Number: 09/401,521  
Filing Date: September 22, 1999  
Appellant(s): MEUBUS ET AL.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew Zischka  
For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER**

Art Unit: 2645

This is in response to the appeal brief filed 07/16/04.

**(1) *Real Party in Interest***

A statement identifying the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

**(2) *Related Appeals and Interferences***

The brief does not contain a statement identifying the related appeals and interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the decision in the pending appeal is contained in the brief. Therefore, it is presumed that there are none. The Board, however, may exercise its discretion to require an explicit statement as to the existence of any related appeals and interferences.

**(3) *Status of Claims***

The statement of the status of the claims contained in the brief is correct.

**(4) *Status of Amendments After Final***

No amendment after final has been filed.

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

**(5) *Summary of Invention***

The summary of invention contained in the brief is correct.

**(6) *Issues***

The appellant's statement of the issues in the brief is correct.

**(7) *Grouping of Claims***

Each one of the following claim groups I-IV do not stand or fall together.

Group I: Claims 21-23, 25-31, and 33-35

Group II: Claim 24

Group III: Claims 32, 36-37, 39-43, 45-48, 50-51, 53-57, and 59-61

Group IV: Claims 52 and 58.

**(8) Claims Appealed**

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

**(9) Prior Art of Record**

5,805,587	Norris et al.	09/1998
5,572,583	Wheeler, Jr. et al.	11/1996

**(10) Grounds of Rejection**

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 21-31 and 33-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and claims 32, 36-37, 39-43, 45-48, and 50-61 rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a). This rejection is set forth in a prior Office Action, mailed on 07/17/03.

**Claims 21-31, 33-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Norris et al., Patent #5,805,587.**

Regarding claim 21, Norris teaches a plurality of telephony switches interconnected in a switched traffic carrying network for carrying telephone call traffic (Fig.1) and an associated signaling network for carrying signaling information relevant to the establishment of call paths on said traffic carrying network (col.1 lines 38-47);  
a method of processing an incoming call directed to a specified subscriber telephone line on said traffic carrying network, said specified subscriber telephone line

initially in-use to connect a data terminal to a data network(col.1 lines 38-47), said method comprising:

receiving a signaling message from said signaling network generated in response to said incoming call, said received signaling message received prior to establishment of a call path for said incoming call on said traffic carrying network (*col. 1 lines 41-57, col.5 line 66 – col.6 line 11 and col.6 lines 28-50; the ANI of the caller is the signaling message, in which the called party then decides if an establishment of a call path is warranted*);

in response to said received signaling message, dispatching a first data message indicative of said incoming call to said data terminal on said data network by way of said traffic carrying network and said specified subscriber telephone line(602 *Fig.6 and col.6 lines 28-50; the signaling message from the incoming call is sent visually to the data terminal user*).

Regarding claims 22-23 in view of claim 21, Norris teaches:

receiving a second data message from said data terminal, said second data message indicative of a call disposition response provided to incoming call (609 Fig.6).

in response to receiving said second data message, dispatching a signaling message on said signaling network to establish a call path between said incoming call and said specified subscriber telephone line on said traffic carrying network (604 Fig.6 and col.6 lines 60-67 and col.7 lines 13-49).

Regarding claim 24 in view of claim 21, Norris teaches said signaling network comprises an intelligent network, and wherein said received signaling message is

received from a processing element forming part of said signaling network [*CPU 205 Fig. 3; Norris's network is intelligent (e.g. call waiting while subscriber is utilizing the telephone line to connect to the internet)*], therefore Norris comprises an intelligent network.

Regarding claim 25 in view of claim 22, Norris teaches the received signaling message comprises a telephone dial number identifying said specified subscriber telephone line (col. 2 lines 35-39 and col.6 lines 1-15).

Regarding claim 26 in view of claim 25, Norris teaches said received signaling message comprises at least one of a dial number associated with an originator of said incoming call and a name associated with an originator of said incoming call (col. 2 lines 35-39 and col.5 lines 58-col.6 line 24).

Regarding claim 27 in view of claim 21, Norris teaches said data network comprises an internet protocol compliant network, and wherein said first data message comprises a internet protocol compliant message (*col.6 lines 5-50 and col.8 lines 20-32; Norris' data messages are sent over the internet therefore Norris' data message comprises an internet protocol compliant message*).

Regarding claim 28 in view of claim 26, Norris teaches said first data message comprises at least one of a dial number associated with an originator of said incoming call and a name associated with an originator of said incoming call(602 Fig.6 and col.5 lines 58-col.6 line 24).

Regarding claim 29, Norris teaches a notification server comprising with a first

Art Unit: 2645

interface connected to a telephone signaling network adapted to receive signaling messages;

a first interface for connection of said server to a telephony signaling network, said signaling network for carrying signaling information relevant to the establishment of call paths on a switched traffic carrying telephony network, said first interface adapted to receive signaling messages prior to establishment of associated call paths on said traffic carrying telephony network (235 fig. 3, col.1 lines 41-57, col.5 line 66 – col.6 line 11 and col.6 lines 28-50; the ANI/Caller ID of the caller is the signaling message that is displayed to the called party, in which the called party then decides if an establishment of a call path is warranted- therefore, the signaling information is received prior to establishment of a conversation call path);

a second interface connecting server to data network (215 fig. 3);

the processor of Norris (*Internet Access server and 205 fig. 3*) is operable to: receiving a signal indicating an incoming call to a specified telephone line by way of signaling network (col. 2 lines 31-45) and in response to receiving said signal, dispatching a data message over data network indicative of said incoming call to a terminal in communication with said data network by way of said specified telephone line (603 Fig.6);

Regarding claim 30 in view of claim 29, the processor in Norris can receive a call disposition message from data terminal over data network (col.6 lines 28-67).

Regarding claim 31 in view of claim 30, Norris teaches the notification server, wherein said processor is further operable to dispatch a signaling message to said

Art Unit: 2645

signaling network to establish a path on said traffic carrying telephony network between said caller and said specified telephone line, in response to receiving said call disposition response (col.6 lines 5-60).

Regarding claim 33 in view of claim 29, Norris teaches the notification server wherein said data message comprises an internet protocol compliant message(*col.6 line 5-67; the data message is sent across a data network(eg. Internet) therefore the data message comprises an internet protocol compliant message*).

Regarding claim 34 in view of claim 30, Norris teaches the processor is further operable to dispatch a signaling message that establishes a call path between caller and a voice mail server (col.8 lines 6-14)

Regarding claim 35 in view of claim 30, Norris teaches the notification server wherein said processor is further operable to dispatch a signaling message to said signaling network to establish a call path between said caller and a second subscriber telephone line, on said traffic carrying network (280 Fig.3 and col.6 lines 30-36 and col.8 lines 6-12; the second subscriber telephone line in Norris is connected to a voice mail system).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.



**Claims 32, 36-37, 39-43, 45-48, 50-61 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norris in view of Wheeler et al., Patent #5,572,583.**

Regarding claim 32, Norris teaches a network with signaling comprising: in response to an incoming call directed to a subscriber telephone line in use to connect a data terminal to a data network can operably dispatch a data message to subscriber displaying caller ID information of the caller and in response to subscriber selecting an option on how to handle the call, send a data message back through the data network so the subscriber can choose to terminate the current data connection and establish a call path, route caller to a voice-mail system, ignore the call, or dispose of the call and continue the data communication (602 Fig.6, col.6 lines 1-67).

Norris further teaches a caller interface(S2 Fig.1 connected to a central office switches-label 50 Fig.1 and to a public switched network(PSTN 100 Fig. 1 and 150-10 of Fig.3).

Norris(AT&T assignee) does not expressly teach an Advanced Intelligent Network (AIN) network but does suggest that other public switched networks can be used (e.g AT&T network; col.2 lines 20-25 and col.3 lines 25-38) and uses ISDN-signaling D channel and T1 lines for his connections(col.3 lines 25-38)

Wheeler teaches an AT&T equipped AIN network which is a public switched network and comprises AT&T central office switches(col.5 lines 36-63 and col.11 lines 35-38) , ISDN, and T1 modifications (col.7 lines 18-26 and col.8 lines 19-29).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Norris' ISDN/internet network to include the AIN and

AIN services as taught by Wheeler. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this as Norris suggest the use of other public switched networks(ie. AT&T Fig.1) in his ISDN system and Wheeler teaches implementing ISDN in his AIN with the use of AT&T central office switches (col.5 lines 36-63 and col.11 lines 35-38).

Furthermore, Norris(AT&T assignee) discloses a feature(Remote Access Call Forwarding) offered prior to the year 1995 by Bell Atlantic. Wheeler, who is a Bell Atlantic assignee, discloses the use of an AT&T equipped network and ISDN(used by Norris) in his AIN topology filed in 1994. Therefore, one skilled in the art at the time would have been motivated to implement Wheeler's AIN system within the CO and data network(50,100, and 300 Fig.1) of Norris.

Regarding claims 36, 37, and 39, Norris teaches a signaling network for carrying signaling information relevant to the establishment of call paths on a traffic carrying telephony network, said switching point operable to dispatch a data message in response to an incoming call directed to a specified subscriber telephone line in use to connect a data terminal to a data network using said traffic carrying telephony network, to a telephony network gateway in communication with a data network gateway, said data network gateway operable to dispatch a data message from said over said data network to said data terminal (300 Fig.1 and col.6 lines 1-67; *it is inherently known that utilizing the internet includes having a data network gateway so that the telephony network is able to establish communication with the data network*).

Norris does not expressly teach a Service Control Point (SCP) or a switching point within an AIN for dispatching an AIN termination attempt message.

Wheeler teaches a SCP(43 Fig.1) and a switching point (col.5 lines 36-45) within an AIN for dispatching an AIN termination attempt message (S1 Fig.5, col.9 lines 35-67, and col.13 lines 41-52).

In view of the explanation above in claim 32, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that since AIN capabilities are provided, an incoming call is sent through a AIN network to a SSP from which a termination attempt trigger is then sent to a SCP to provide advanced routing functions before the call is established with the subscriber using the internet from Norris, this is standard routing in an AIN network.

Regarding claim 40, Norris teaches a processing element for interconnection with a communications signaling network carrying signals relevant to establishing call paths on a traffic carrying telephone network, said processing element(200 Fig.1) comprising: a first interface for connecting said processing element with a signaling network in communication with a switch on said traffic carrying telephone network (235 and 150-10 Fig.3); a second interface for connecting said processing element with a data network gateway for dispatching data messages on a data network (215 Fig.3); said processing element operable to dispatch a first message to said data network gateway by way of said second interface in response to receiving an signaling message by way of said first interface, said signal indicative of an incoming call to a specified telephone subscriber line in-use connecting a data terminal to said data network by way of said traffic carrying telephone network (col.6 lines 1-67).

Norris further teaches a caller interface(S2 Fig.1 connected to a central office

Art Unit: 2645

switches-label 50 Fig.1 and to a public switched network(PSTN 100 Fig. 1 and 150-10 of Fig.3).

Norris(AT&T assignee) does not expressly teach an Advanced Intelligent Network (AIN) network but does suggest that other public switched networks can be used (e.g AT&T network; col.2 lines 20-25 and col.3 lines 25-38) and uses ISDN-signaling D channel and T1 lines for his connections(col.3 lines 25-38)

Wheeler teaches an AT&T equipped AIN network which is a public switched network and comprises AT&T central office switches(col.5 lines 36-63 and col.11 lines 35-38) , ISDN, and T1 modifications (col.7 lines 18-26 and col.8 lines 19-29).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Norris' ISDN/internet network to include AIN peripherals and services as taught by Wheeler. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this as Norris suggest the use of other public switched networks(ie. AT&T Fig.1) in his ISDN system and Wheeler teaches implementing ISDN in his AIN with the use of AT&T central office switches (col.5 lines 36-63 and col.11 lines 35-38).

Furthermore, Norris(AT&T assignee) discloses a feature(Remote Access Call Forwarding) offered prior to the year 1995 by Bell Atlantic. Wheeler, who is a Bell Atlantic assignee, discloses the use of an AT&T equipped network and ISDN(used by Norris) in his AIN topology filed in 1994. Therefore, one skilled in the art at the time would have been motivated to implement Wheeler's AIN system within the CO and data network(50,100, and 300 Fig.1) of Norris.

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claims 41, 42, and 43 in view of claim 40, Norris teaches dispatching a signaling message on said first interface to establish a call path between said incoming call and:

said specified telephone subscriber line, or second subscriber telephone line, or voice mail system in response to receiving an appropriate call disposition signal from said data network gateway on said second interface (*280 Fig.3 and col.6 lines 28-36 and col.8 lines 6-12; the second subscriber telephone line in Norris is connected to a voice mail system*).

Regarding claim 45, Norris in view of Wheeler teaches the processing element of claim 40, wherein said AIN signaling message comprises an AIN call termination attempt message (Wheeler col.9 lines 35-67 and col.13 lines 41-52; a termination attempt message is a result of a termination attempt trigger).

Regarding claims 46, 47, and 48, Norris in view of Wheeler teaches the processing element of claim 45, wherein said AIN call termination attempt message comprises a telephone dial number identifying said subscriber line (*Wheeler col.6 lines 35-53*), or an identifier of an originator of said call, including at least one of a name and dial number associated with said call, or at least one of said name and said dial number (*Wheeler col.6 lines 35-53*).

Regarding claims 50-52 and 56-58, Norris teaches a method of dispatching a message indicative of an incoming call, originating with a caller interconnected with said

first switch to a subscriber line interconnected with said second switch, to a terminal in communication with a data network (DT1 Fig.1 and col.6 lines 1-67).

Norris as modified by Wheeler in view of above explanation regarding the implementation of an AIN network with the PSTN of Norris would arrive to the claimed invention below:

in response to a first signaling message(Norris col.6 lines 1-10),  
dispatching a second signaling message to a processing element(Norris col.6 lines 11-14; processor 205),  
dispatching a third signaling message from said processing element to said data network gateway(Norris' data gateway Fig.1 label 200 and col.6 lines 24-42),  
in response to third signaling message, dispatching a data message from said gateway to said data terminal(Norris' Fig.1 label DT1 and col.6 lines 42-50);  
the second signaling message is a termination attempt message, which is the eventual *how-to* terminate message presented to the called party(col.6 lines 31-50).

These signaling messages are prior to the establishment of a call path between the first and second switches because it is after these signaling messages that the subscriber connected to internet can have the option of selecting if he/she chooses to establish a 2-way voice conversation call path with the incoming caller.

Regarding claims 53-55 and 59-61 in view of claims 52 and 58 respectively,  
Norris as modified by Wheeler teaches the second signaling comprising the telephone dial number identifying said subscriber line (*routing incoming call of Norris', col.2 lines 35-39 through 1<sup>st</sup> SSP and 2<sup>nd</sup> SSP to the SCP of Wheeler Fig.1 and col.6 lines 35-52*

Art Unit: 2645

*and col.9 lines 35-66, would still include the telephone dial number identifying said subscriber line as the SCP needs this information to know how to process the incoming call).*

### **Allowable Subject Matter**

Claim 49 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record does not teach the AIN system of claim 40 which further incorporates a processing element able to monitor a voice mail server and provide a signal to a data network gateway indicative of a message waiting at said voice mail server.

### **(11) Response to Argument**

**Regarding claim 21 (Group I of claims 21-23, 25-31, and 33-35),** Applicant argues that Norris fails to disclose receiving a signaling message from a signaling network generated in response to an incoming call, said received signaling message received prior to establishment of a call path for said incoming call.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner interprets a 'call path' as a 2-way voice call path, which is different than a signaling path. Thus the signaling messages in Norris is sent prior to establishment of a call path(col.6 lines 1-15 "TS 105 signals IAS 200..., in which signaling is transmitted over the associated D signaling channel....the signaling information transported over the D signaling channel includes, inter alia, forward-to-number and calling party telephone number"). Then IAS 200 composes a

signaling message to send to the PSTN 100 to signal the called subscriber(DT1) of the incoming call (col.6 lines 30-50).

It is after the signaling messages are dispatched from Norris' IAS 200 to the PSTN then to the called subscriber, that the subscriber can choose to "connect the call" for establishing a 2-way voice call path(Norris col.6 lines 30-35).

Applicant cites column references(col.1 lines 41-57) on page 8 of brief that is merely the general summary and not the specific disclosure of Norris that teaches the limitation argued above, see Norris col.6 lines 1-15 which Examiner relies upon.

It is further noted that the cited passage above "*signaling in response to an incoming call*" is consistent with applicant's specification(eg. page 1 lines 26-37 and page 7 lines 24-36).

**Regarding claim 24 (Group II).** Applicant argues that the prior art of record, Norris, fails to disclose an intelligent network.

Examiner respectfully disagrees as Norris' network is "intelligent" as a standard non-ISDN circuit-switched network could not have performed the features taught in Norris because the signaling information(col.6 lines 1-42) sent prior to establishment of a call path needs a signaling network(D channel). For note, ISDN comprises of 2 'B' bearer channels and 1 'D' signaling channel.

Norris' network also uses data packet transmitting(col.3 lines 4-15 and col.6 lines 29-42) which is more advanced and intelligent over a standard non-ISDN circuit-switched network.

Examiner would like to further note that according to Newton's Telecom Dictionary



definition of "Intelligent Network"(attached hereto), "*the most familiar Intelligent Network is the Public Switched Telephone Network(PSTN)*" which Norris uses a PSTN(see Fig.1 label 100).

Therefore Norris' signaling network is an "Intelligent Network" (PSTN) and therefore reads on the claimed invention as recited.

It is noted that applicant uses Claim Differentiation in claim 24, it is clear that applicant understands "intelligent network" can be read broader than "advanced intelligent network" as recited in Group III(eg. claim 32).

**Regarding Group III (claims 32, 36-37, 39-43, 45-48, 50-51, 53-57, and 59-61).**

Applicant argues that a prima facie case of obviousness has yet been established by the combined references(Norris in view of Wheeler et al.), more specifically:

(a) Lack of suggestion or motivation to combine is not found in the prior art, reference to applicant's arguments of claim 32, whereby the references does not teach a "...signaling network comprises an advanced intelligent network(AIN)"

Examiner respectfully disagrees as Norris' network is first and foremost advanced and intelligent to implement the advanced features taught in Norris(see explanation for Group II above) and therefore reads on claim 32. It was obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art combine the advanced intelligent peripherals in Wheeler with the advanced and intelligent network of Norris at the time the invention was made because the Advanced Intelligent Network as taught by Wheeler was well-known and implemented in a variety of networks used at the time (Wheeler col.5 lines 36-54) more specifically with the PSTN. Wheeler further suggests using the central office switching system(CO) as

Art Unit: 2645

SSP's, part of the "Advanced Intelligent Network", therefore using Norris' CO's in Fig.1 label 25/50 as SSP's was suggested at the time the invention was made.

Furthermore, Wheeler suggests in *col.6 lines 17-34 and in Fig.1* that the SS7 network and 'other signaling networks' can be used as his SSP and SCP type networks(AIN).

Norris teaches a signaling network and ISDN(which includes SS7- see attached Newton's Telecom Dictionary copyright 1997 explanation of ISDN), therefore further suggestion that Norris's ISDN network was obvious to combine with a well-known and widely used AIN network as taught by Wheeler at the time the invention was made. In summary, AIN was designed to be integrated with current ISDN implementations(e.g. see Wheeler col.8 lines 16-29).

Applicant makes conclusory arguments that the combined references fail to teach or suggest all claim limitations regarding claims 32, 36, 37, 39-43, 45-48, and 50-61. Thus specific arguments were not presented against these claims and therefore examiner maintains the same explanation of rejection in the above prior Office Action, mailed 07/13/03.

It is clear that the basic concept of applicant's invention(interacting with a called party currently connected to a data network) is taught by Norris and Wheeler's well-known AIN topology was obvious to combine with Norris' advanced and intelligent ISDN network at the time the invention was made(e.g. see Wheeler col.8 lines 16-29).

**Regarding group IV, claims 52 and 58**, applicant argues that the combined references fails to teach "dispatching the second signaling message prior to establishing a call path to the second switch for the incoming call" and fails to establish a *prima facie*

Art Unit: 2645

case of obviousness.

Examiner respectfully disagrees as the combined references teaches that in response to a first signaling message(Norris col.6 lines 1-10), dispatching a second signaling message from the IAS to the PSTN switch 105 prior to establishing a call path to provide the called party termination options(Norris col.6 lines 11-35). It is again noted that these signaling messages are dispatched prior to establishing a 2-way voice call path. It is after the signaling messages are dispatched, when the subscriber connected to the data network can select an option on how to handle the incoming call(Norris col.6 lines 30-35).

The *prima facie* case of obviousness was established, see Examiner's explanation of Group III above. Therefore claims 52 and 58 is obvious over Norris in view of Wheeler.

**For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.**

Respectfully submitted,



Joseph T Phan  
Examiner, AU 2645

Conferees

Joseph T Phan  
Examiner, AU 2645



Fan Tsang  
SPE, AU 2645



Ahmad Matar  
SPE, AU 2642



Roland Foster  
Primary Examiner, AU 2645



2121 Crystal Drive, CPK2  
Room 8B11  
Arlington, VA 22202  
October 27, 2004

SMART AND BIGGAR  
438 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
SUITE 1500 BOX 111  
TORONTO, ONC M5G2K-8



**Integrated Voice Data Workstation** See ISDN, IVDT and Integrated Voice/Data.

**Integration Software** If your business is like Technology Investor Magazine, it has different software programs for each business task — accounting, sales automation, order entry, inventory, etc. If you could get those pieces of software to talk to each other, and to talk sense to each other, you could save time, lower labor costs, improve your products and provide better customer service. Better yet, if you could get your internal software programs talking to software at your suppliers and customers, you could save even more money, labor and time. That's what integration software does. Every business of any size can use it to improve how their business works.

**Integration Testing** Integration (or single thread) testing is the phase in the computer telephony lifecycle that begins as individual modules are pulled together to make a complete system. Testing in this phase is related to making sure the interfaces between the various modules function correctly, and is oriented to functional issues. Inter-module functions are checked for load stability by exposing them to a variety of real-world stimuli. Definition courtesy Steve Gladstone, from his book "Testing Computer Telephony Systems."

**Integrity** The decision you make when nobody is watching. Definition courtesy Alcoholics Anonymous.

**Intel** Military for intelligence. Also the world's largest semiconductor manufacturer.

**Intel Blue** Specifications required to provision the ISDN line to meet the needs of Intel's ISDN-based products. When ordering your ISDN phone line and you want to use it for data or video, tell them it's "Intel Blue." That should tell your local phone company the correct technical specifications for your line. And when you come to plug in your ISDN equipment (assuming your chosen manufacturer has made it compatible with Intel Blue), it should work. This is not a guarantee, but a probability. See ISDN.

**Intellectual Property** Intellectual property is produced by effort of the mind, as distinct from real or personal property. Intellectual property may or may not enjoy the benefit of legal protection. In the November 4, 2002 issue of Information Week, Tony Kontrer wrote that intellectual property generally takes one of four forms: inventions, ideas, trade secrets, and goodwill. Each has its own method of protection.

- A patent issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office grants an inventor exclusive right to an invention for 20 years from the date of application. According to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office's Web site, a patent can be obtained by anyone who "invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof." In addition to being new and useful, an invention also must meet one other condition before a patent can be issued: It must not be obvious.
  - A copyright registered with the Copyright Office of the Library of Congress gives authors the exclusive right to reproduce, adapt, distribute copies of, perform, or display literary, dramatic, musical, artistic, and certain other intellectual works. While the bulk of copyrights are issued for works in the arts, they're also granted to business ideas, such as source code and mission statements.
  - Trade secrets fall under state law and are defined as confidential information that provides indisputable economic value. A business owner can turn to trade-secret laws if such information is improperly disclosed — by a former employee, for instance — or is otherwise illegally acquired by a competitor.
  - A trademark registered with the Patent and Trademark Office grants ownership of a word, name, symbol, or device that indicates the source of traded goods and distinguishes those goods from the goods of others. The owner of a trademark can prevent others from using a confusingly similar mark, protecting the goodwill that a brand carries with it. But the trademark can't be used to prevent them from selling the same goods under a clearly different mark.
- See Copyright, Patent, Trademark, Trade Secret, and WIPO.
- Intelligence** The part of a computer which performs the arithmetic and logic functions. Also, the information impressed or modulated on a transmission carrier — either voice or data.
- Intelligent Agent** Software that has been taught something of your desires or preferences and acts on your behalf to do things for you. It might, for example, search through incoming material on networks (e-mail and news) and find what you're interested in or looking for. It might, for example, monitor your TV viewing habits, accept general instructions about your preferences and then, on its own, browse through huge databases of available videos and make recommendations about programs you might be interested in viewing.

**Intelligent Answering** A Rolm term, explained thus: "When your customer calls — or you call them — the Rolm 9751 (CBX) system can use automatic number identification (ANI) or dialed number identification service (DNIS) to identify the caller and the reason for that call."

**Intelligent Assistance** A concept Apple is pushing for its Newton PDA. Newton can anticipate what you want to do and provide a bit of help. This is how Fortune Magazine explained it: "For example, scroll 'lunch with John Thursday.' My Newton would assume that Thursday means next Thursday and that John is the John I've been meeting with lately, John Sculley, and that I want to eat at 12:30, my usual lunch hour. Newton updates my calendar, and presto, displays the entry for my approval. I can okay it or change it."

**Intelligent Battery System** See IBS.

**Intelligent Business Process Routing** If your business is like Technology Investor Magazine, it has different software programs for each business task — accounting, sales automation, order entry, inventory, etc. If you could get those pieces of software to talk to each other, and to talk sense to each other, you could save time, lower labor costs, improve your products and provide better customer service. Better yet, if you could get your internal software programs talking to software at your suppliers and customers, you could save even more money, labor and time. That's what integration software does. Every business of any size can use it to improve how their business works.

There are three types of integration software: enterprise application, business-to-business, and business-to-community. What's the difference? All integration software lets two or more software applications — e.g., accounting and inventory — exchange (transport) and understand (transform) each other's data. That's why it's often called plumbing software. Enterprise application integration (EAI) software links a company's "inside" applications — the software only its employees use. It's what used to be called middle ware, but with better management and more features. Plus, it connects all applications — a universal translator of sorts. Middleware usually connects just two specific applications. EAI also does intelligent business process routing — telling each piece of software where to send its data to complete all the necessary business processes. Think of what customer relationship management software should do after a salesperson enters an order: notify accounting to register the income, advise accounts receivable to issue a bill, tell inventory to see if the product is in stock, let shipping know to print a packing slip, and tell logistics to schedule delivery, etc.

**Intelligent Call Management (ICM)** A generic name for a system that distributes phone calls across geographically distributed call centers. The ICM system provides pre-routing, post-routing, and performance monitoring.

**Intelligent Concentrator** A concentrator which receives signals from a device on one port and retransmits them to devices on other ports. An intelligent concentrator is one that has software and therefore has programming capabilities.

**Intelligent Hub** A hub that performs bridging and routing functions in a collapsed backbone environment. In short, it functions both as a bridge and multiprotocol router.

**Intelligent Multiple Access Spectrum Sharing** See IMASS.

**Intelligent Multiple Access Spectrum Sharing (IMASS)** A method of automatically determining the presence of existing private operational fixed microwave (OFM) systems in the areas near base stations, and avoiding the use of frequencies for the PCS or cellular base station which might cause unacceptable interference. Instead the PCS or cellular systems will use frequencies in each area, which are not being used by nearby OFM systems. Techniques such as this will be helpful to PCS service providers coexisting with the incumbent OFM systems, until they can be relocated to difference frequencies according to the FCC rules.

**Intelligent Network** IN. A network that allows functionality to be distributed flexibly at a variety of nodes on and off the network and allows the architecture to be modified to control the services. The most familiar intelligent network is the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN). In North America, the Intelligent Network is an advanced network concept that is envisioned to offer such things as (a) distributed call-processing capabilities across multiple network modules, (b) real-time authorization code verification, (c) one-number services, and (d) flexible private network services (including (1) reconfiguration by subscriber, (2) traffic analyses, (3) service restrictions, (4) routing control, and (5) data on call histories). Levels of IN development are:

- IN/1. A protocol intelligent network targeted toward services that allow increased customer control and that can be provided by centralized switching vehicles serving a large customer base.
- IN/2+. A protocol intelligent network targeted toward services that can be provided

by centralized switching vehicles, e.g., access tandems, serving a large customer base.

• IN/2. A proposed, advanced intelligent-network concept that extends the distributed IN/1 architecture to accommodate the concept called the "service independence." Traditionally, service logic has been localized at individual switching systems. The IN/2 architecture provides flexibility in the placement of service logic, requiring the use of advanced techniques to manage the distribution of both network data and service logic across multiple IN/2 modules. See AIN, which stands for Advanced Intelligent Network.

See also Dumb Network.

**Intelligent Peripheral IP** A network system in the Advanced Intelligent Network Release 1 architecture containing a Resource Containing a Resource Control Environment (RCEE) functional group that enables flexible information interactions between a user and the network.

**Intelligent Phone** When the Bell operating companies get bored they occasionally fantasize about applications for the networks they provide. Here are some of their ideas for what intelligent phones could, if motivated, do:

Select entertainment on demand (movies, music, video). Order groceries or other services or products. Record customized news and sports programming. Enroll and participate in education programs from the convenience of subscribers' living rooms. Find up-to-minute medical, legal and encyclopedic information. Pay bills and manage finances. Make airline, rental car and hotel reservations and buy sports and entertainment tickets.

**Intelligent Premises Equipment** This refers to modern equipment, such as routers and intelligent switches. These devices are often capable of taking on roles traditionally performed by the network service, such as error correction.

**Intelligent Routing** A voice call message in. Your voice mail machine recognizes it as being urgent, so it gives the caller a message. "Please hold. Harry is away from his desk. I'll find Harry for you." Meantime, it dials several numbers looking for me. It also beeps me. Eventually I call in. It tells me, "John Smith is calling for you. You want him?" Yes, I say and we're connected. This is a simple form of a broad concept that many are beginning to call intelligent routing. See also At Work and Windows Telephony.

**Intelligent Terminal** A terminal is an input/output device to a distant computer. The terminal may communicate with the computer over a dedicated collection of wires or over phone lines. In the early days, terminals contained no processing power. They simply reflected what the user typed in and what the distant computer responded. As computers became cheaper and with the advent of the "computer on a chip," so it was economical possible to put computing power into a terminal. This reduced the load on the main computer and cut down on communications costs. There are levels of "intelligence" in terminals. An intelligent terminal might perform simple arithmetic functions or it might check the accuracy of input data (does the zip code match the state?). It may perform far more comprehensive processing — as doing virtually all the local processing, and only transmitting summary results to corporate headquarters once a day. A personal computer can be used and act as an intelligent terminal. Many personal computer communications software can emulate terminals, the most common being the DEC VT-100.

**Intelligent Token** A hardware device which generates one-time passwords. In turn, the passwords are verified by a secure server, yielding additional security.

**Intelligent Crosstalk** Crosstalk from which information can be derived.

**INTELSAT** International Telecommunications Satellite organization. At its formation, INTELSAT was a worldwide consortium of national satellite communications organizations. INTELSAT was originally owned by 138 governments and IntelSat itself owned 24 satellites worldwide. At one stage, INTELSAT owned and operated the world's most extensive global communications satellite system. In June 2001, IntelSat, Ltd. was formed as a result of the privatization of the former intergovernmental organization INTELSAT is now privately owned by an international group of over 200 shareholders; major owners include Lockheed Martin Corporation (beneficial owner), Videsh Sanchar Nigam Limited, France Telecom, Telesat Broadband Services A.S., and British Telecommunications plc. The U.S. Open-Market Reorganization for the Betterment of International Telecommunications Act ("ORBIT") required that IntelSat conduct an initial public offering ("IPO") of its equity securities no later than December 31, 2002.

**Intensity Modulation** IM. In optical communication, a form of modulation in which the optical power output of a source is varied in accordance with some characteristic of the modulating signal. In intensity modulation, there are no discrete upper and lower sidebands in the usually understood sense of these terms, because present optical sources